REMARKS

The Examiner has rejected claims 10-35. Claims 10, 11, 13, and 21 are being

amended to further recite the features of the invention; the scope of claims remains

unchanged. Claims 1-9 have been withdrawn as the result of an earlier restriction

requirement. As a result, claims 10-35 are pending for examination with claims 10 and

23 being independent claims. The amendments made find support in the specification

and do not constitute new matter.

Objections to the Drawings

The Examiner has objected to the drawings as indicated on page 3 of the OA.

Applicants have amended paragraphs 43 and 46 of the specification as provided herein

above to address the Examiner's objection. As such, Applicants respectfully request that

the Examiner withdraw the objection.

Objections to the Specification

The Examiner has objected to the specification as indicated on page 3 of the OA.

Applicants have amended paragraphs 50 and 54 of the specification as provided herein

above to address the Examiner's objection. As such, Applicants respectfully request that

the Examiner withdraw the objection.

Amendment

Application Number: 19/695,928

Attorney Docket Number: 304931.01

16 of 23

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112

The Examiner has rejected claims 10 and 13 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second

paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the

subject matter which Applicants regard as the invention. Applicants have amended

claims 10 and 13 as provided herein above to address the Examiner's rejection. As such,

Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner withdraw the rejection.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103

The Examiner has rejected independent claims 10 and 23 under 35 U.S.C.

§103(a) as being unpatentable over Viswanath et al (US Publication No. 2007/0118670)

("Viswanath") in view of Greer et al. (US Patent No. 5,978,828) ("Greer"). Applicants point

out that the Examiner appears to be using other than the last amended claims in the

rejection resulting in an improper rejection. None the less, Applicants will attempt to

respond to the rejection as applied to the last amended claims. Applicants respectfully

request that the Examiner consider the claims as currently amended in any future

actions.

The Examiner asserts that the features of the claimed invention are recited in the

art and that it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time

the invention was made to combine Viswanath and Greer. Applicants traverse the

Examiner's rejection and point out that at least the feature of "terminating" is not

disclosed in Viswanath or Greer or the combination thereof.

Amendment

Application Number: 19/695,928

Attorney Docket Number: 304931.01

17 of 23

Applicants have amended claim 10 to call for:

"...terminating the incoming request;" (underlining added for emphasis)

And claim 23 calls for:

"...terminate the received request;" (underlining added for emphasis)

Applicants submit that the invention as claimed in claims 10 and 23 is neither taught, described, nor suggested in Viswanath, even in view of Greer.

The present specification provides:

"Broadly, wireless network access device 130, when implementing the operations of Fig. 4, operates as a proxy server for resource requests that originate on the local communication network. Wireless network access device 130 receives requests and provides requested resources from local cache memory, if possible. Otherwise, wireless network access device 130 terminates the received resource request and determines a number of TCP connections to use for retrieving the resource. Wireless network access device 130 then generates a new resource request and opens separate TCP connections with the destination address over a plurality of the wireless communication interfaces. The resource is downloaded to the wireless network access device 130, which stores the

Amendment

Application Number: 19/695,928

resource in local cache memory and makes the resource available to the

requesting device." (para 37; underlining and bolding added for

emphasis)

The original specification provides:

"In another exemplary implementation, another method is

provided. A request for a resource comprising a plurality of objects is

received from a computing device. The request is terminated. A number

of available wireless network interfaces and a number of objects in the

resource are determined. Each object is assigned to a specific wireless

network interface, and a request for the resource is transmitted. The

request specifies the specific wireless network interface assigned to an

object." (para 6; underlining and bolding added for emphasis)

The present specification provides:

"In another exemplary implementation, an apparatus is provided.

The apparatus comprises at least one local communication network

interface for receiving a request for a resource. In addition, the

apparatus comprises a plurality of wireless network interfaces for

transmitting resource requests over wireless communication connections.

The apparatus further comprises a memory module, and a processor that

executes logic instructions that configure the processor to terminate the

Amendment

Application Number: 19/695,928

received request, determine a number of available wireless network

interfaces, determine a number of objects in the resource and the size of

each object, and assign each object to at least one available wireless

network interface." (para 7; underlining and bolding added for emphasis)

Viswanath, on the other hand, describing step 216 in FIG. 3 as cited by the

Examiner, provides:

"Load balance unit 22 selects one of gateways 20 from list 32 at

step 212. For example, where round-robin or some other alternating

technique for ordering gateways 20 is used to generate list 32, load

balance unit 22 may select the first gateway 20 in list 32. At step 214,

load balance unit 22 updates the entry in mapping information 110 that

was created in step 206. To update the entry in mapping information

110, load balance unit 22 may map the IP address for selected gateway

20 to the mobile node identifier stored in mapping information 110. Load

balance unit 22 modifies network access request 28 to include the IP

address of selected gateway 20 and forwards network access request 28

to selected gateway 20 at steps 216 and 218, respectively." (Viswanath,

para 41; underlining and bolding added for emphasis)

As such, VIswanath teaches away from terminating the request as claimed by

teaching modifying and forwarding the request. Neither does Greer teach, disclose, or

suggest such claimed terminating the request.

Amendment

Application Number: 19/695,928

Accordingly, the Applicants submit that independent claims 10 and 23 are not

unpatentable over Viswanath, even in view of Greer. As such, Applicants respectfully

request that the Examiner withdraw the rejection and allow the claims.

Claims 11-22 and 24-35 are dependent on either claim 10 or 23. As such,

claims 11-22 and 24-35 are believed allowable based at least in part upon either claim

10 or 35.

Accordingly, reconsideration and examination of the above-referenced

application is requested.

Amendment

Application Number: 19/695,928

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, in view of the above amendment and remarks it is submitted that the claims are patentably distinct over the prior art and that all the rejections to the claims have been overcome. Reconsideration and reexamination of the above application is requested. Based on the foregoing, Applicants respectfully request that the pending claims be allowed, and that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case. If the Examiner believes, after this amendment, that the application is not in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to call the Applicants' representative at the telephone number listed below.

Amendment

Application Number: 19/695,928

If this response is not considered timely filed and if a request for an extension of time is otherwise absent, Applicants hereby request any necessary extension of time. If there is a fee occasioned by this response, including an extension fee that is not covered by an enclosed check please charge any deficiency to Deposit Account No. 50–0463.

	Respectfully submitted,
	Microsoft Corporation
Date: <u>10-19-2007</u>	By:/L. Alan Collins/
	L. Alan Collins, Reg. No.: 57,646
	Direct telephone (425) 703-8265
	Microsoft Corporation
	One Microsoft Way
	Redmond WA 98052-6399

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION [37 CFR 1.8(a)]

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being electronically deposited with the USPTO via EFS-Web on the date shown below:

October 19, 2007	/Kate Marochkina/
Date	Signature
	<u>Kate Marochkina</u>
	Type or Print Name

Amendment

Application Number: 19/695,928 Attorney Docket Number: 304931.01